

The Combined Influence of the MJO and the Stratospheric Polar Vortex on NH Winter Weather Patterns

Jason C. Furtado¹ (jfurtado@ou.edu) & Matthew R. Green^{1,2}

¹School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK USA ²NOAA CIRES, College Park, MD USA

MOTIVATION

- Two climate modes that affect Northern Hemisphere (NH) polar jet stream variability are the Madden-Julian Oscillation [MJO; Madden and Julian, 1971] and the stratospheric polar vortex [SPV; e.g., Baldwin and Dunkerton, 1999, 2001].
- Past studies have considered the influences of these modes <u>separately</u> on subseasonal-to-seasonal (S2S) weather regimes, but that does not have to be the case (and likely is not).
- This work takes a novel approach and explores the importance of the strength of the SPV and the MJO jointly on associated extratropical weather patterns (FIG. 1).



RESULTS - EP FLUXES AND S/T COUPLING

- 0.5 ____

- -0.5



EP-FLUX COMPOSITE FINDINGS

• Anomalous wave propagation associated with MJO Phase 2,3 events is more confined to the troposphere with minimal (direct) influence on the stratosphere (FIGS. 5c-e) \Rightarrow Tropospheric Pathway

Changes in tropospheric wave activity initiated by the MJO Phase 7,8 events could impact the stratosphere via enhanced upward wave fluxes (FIGS. **5f-h**). \Rightarrow *Tropospheric* & Stratospheric Pathway

DATA AND METHODS

- **Reanalysis:** ERA-Interim daily-mean fields; October March 1979–2018 (i.e., the active season for the MJO & SPV).
- **MJO Index (Phase and Amplitude):** OLR MJO Index [OMI; *Kiladis et al.*, 2014].
- **<u>SPV Index</u>**: Standardized Northern Annular Mode (NAM) index at 100 hPa [NAM₁₀₀; e.g., Thompson and Wallace, 2000].
 - Captures stratospheric events most likely to propagate into the troposphere.
- **Composite Criteria:**
 - *MJO Event:* $|OMI| > 1\sigma$ for a given phase for three (3) consecutive days. Neutral **MJO** events occur when $|OMI| < 1\sigma$.
 - *Strong (Weak) SPV Event:* NAM₁₀₀ >1 σ (<-1 σ) for five (5) consecutive days. **Neutral SPV** events occur when $|NAM_{100}| < 1\sigma$.

Phases 2,3 (Phases 7,8) are grouped together because they represent active (suppressed) convection over the Indian Ocean (Maritime Continent) — i.e., nearly opposite of each other.

	Neutral SPV	Weak SPV	Strong SPV
Neutral MJO		37	43
MJO 2,3	68	23	21
MJO 7,8	69	18	18

TABLE 1. Number of events per case explored in the study.

RESULTS - 500 hPa HEIGHT ANOMALIES



FIG. 5. Lag composites (+10 to +14 days) of Eliassen-Palm (EP) fluxes (vectors; J m⁻²) and flux convergence (shaded contours; m/s/day) anomalies for the various MJO + SPV conditional cases. Shaded contour interval 0.25 m/s/day; zero contour omitted. Vectors scaled as in Edmon et al. [1980].



FIG. 6. Pressure-time lag composites of the (a) standardized NAM index and (b) area-averaged (60°N-80°N) zonal-mean u anomalies (m/s) for MJO Phase 2,3 + neutral SPV events. Day 0 represents start date of MJO event. Negative (positive) lags indicate the variable leads (lags) the start date of the MJO event. Black stippling indicates composite values significant at the p < 0.05 level.



PRESSURE-TIME LAG COMPOSITE FINDINGS • For *MJO Phase 2,3* + *Neutral SPV* cases (FIGS. 6a,b), <u>negative</u> NAM conditions occur in the troposphere before Day 0. Then, a significant positive NAM tropospheric signature emerges, extending up into the stratosphere and strengthening the zonal winds.

• For *MJO Phase* 7,8 + *Neutral SPV* cases (FIGS. 7a,b), the trend after Day 0 is toward <u>negative</u> NAM in the *troposphere* (Days +8 to +12), with the stratospheric NAM turning negative after the troposphere, weakening the vortex (see green box).



FIG. 2. Lag composite (+10 to +14 days) of 500 hPa geopotential height anomalies (m) for the various conditional MJO + SPV cases. Stippling indicates significant anomalies (p < 0.05) using a two-tailed bootstrapping test of N = 5000 samples with replacement.

Positive: Constructive Interference **Negative: Destructive** Interference



FIG. 3. Spatial correlation coefficients of MJO + SPV neutral composites against various conditional MJO + SPV events. Correlations done over the entire NH, the North Pacific (20°-60°N, 150°E-140°W), North America $(20^{\circ}-60^{\circ}N, 60^{\circ}-120^{\circ}W)$, the North Atlantic $(20^{\circ}-75^{\circ}N, 10^{\circ})$ 60°W-20°E), and Eurasia (20°-75°N, 30°-130°E). Significant correlation coefficients (p < 0.05) explicitly shown.



FIG. 7. As in FIG. 6 but for MJO Phase 7,8 + neutral SPV. Green box shows the evolution of the standardized NAM and zonal-mean zonal wind values as they change from the troposphere to the stratosphere.

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

- The different composites based on different MJO + SPV joint conditions (FIGS. 2 & 3) illustrate that examining **both** modes together is important for skillful S2S forecasts, especially for areas like North America.
- MJO influences on the extratropical stratospheric circulation may be contingent on the state of the SPV, especially for MJO Phases 7,8. How these interactions may or may not feed back onto the troposphere and/or the MJO itself remains to be investigated.
- The joint influences of the MJO & SPV are not simply linear, as repeated analyses but with linear regression yield different patterns for the conditional cases (not shown).
- Two related studies bolster the findings in this work.
 - *Ciasto et al.* [2019, in prep.] examine associated MJO and SPV teleconnection patterns in the S2S Model Database. Results are similar to those shown here, though some stratospheric connections are model-dependent.
 - *Barnes et al.* [2019] use causal discovery methods and illustrate that the MJO can influence the NAO through a tropospheric and/or stratospheric pathway.
- A caveat of this work involves sample size, especially for the joint composites. Further modeling studies would thus be beneficial, particularly for testing specific mechanistic links associated with the MJO/SPV conditional cases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FIG. 4. As in FIG. 2 but for surface temperature anomalies (K)

READ THE PAPER

Green, M. R. and Furtado, J. C. (2019), Evaluating the joint influence of the Madden-Julian Oscillation and the stratospheric polar vortex on weather patterns across the Northern Hemisphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030771.

This research is conducted as part of the NOAA Model, Analysis, Prediction, and Projections (MAPP) S2S Prediction Task Force and is supported by NOAA Oceanic and Atmospheric Research Climate Program Office (CPO) Grant #NA16OAR4310075. We thank Elizabeth Barnes, Michelle L'Heureux, and Laura Ciasto for their work on the NOAA grant and their valuable input on this study.

REFERENCES

- Baldwin, M. P., and Dunkerton, T. J (1999), Propagation of the Arctic Oscillation from the stratosphere to the troposphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 30,937-30,946
- Baldwin, M. P., and Dunkerton, T. J. (2001), Stratospheric harbingers of anomalous weather regimes, Science, 294, 581-584.
- Barnes, E. A., Samarasinghe, S. M., Ebert–Uphoff, I., & Furtado, J. C. (2019). Tropospheric and stratospheric causal pathways between the MJO and NAO. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 9356–9371.

Edmon, H. J., Jr., Hoskins, B. J., & McIntyre, M. E. (1980), Eliassen-Palm cross sections for the troposphere, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 37, 2600–2616. Kiladis, G. N., et al. (2014), A comparison of OLR and circulation-based indices for tracking the MJO, Monthly Weather Review, 142, 1697–1715. Madden, R. A., and Julian, P. R. (1971), Detection of a 40-50 day oscillation in the zonal wind in the tropical Pacific. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 28, 702-708 Thompson, D. W. J., and Wallace, J. M. (2000), Annular modes in the extratropical circulation. Part I: Month-to-month variability, Journal of Climate, 13, 1000–1016.