Numerical Weather Prediction
Parameterization of diabatic processes

Convection III: Forecasting and diagnostics

Peter Bechtold
Outline

- Model sensitivity to convective parametrization: analysis increments, heating rates, model biases, diurnal cycle, advection of showers
- Ensemble representation and convection-dynamics coupling
- Convective products and forecasting of mesoscale convective systems
Realism of convective and stratiform precipitation
Realism of convective and stratiform precipitation type
Not always good: errors in intense continental convection can strongly effect upper-level flow (vorticity) and therefore affect the downstream error propagation.

- Under-representation of convection (stabilisation) can lead to very large grid-scale precipitation events with overestimation of upper-level divergent motions => convergent increments.
- Underestimation of convection due to errors in large-scale forcing and convection scheme can lead to an underestimation of divergent outflow and the miss of jets on the downshear side.

For more information, see also Rodwell et al. 2013, BAMS 94 ECMWF Newsletter No 98 Summer 2003, No 114 Winter 2007/8, No 131 Spring 2012, No 136 Summer 2013.
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Courtesy Ivan Tsonevsky
Data assimilation: example of “convective” V-wind Obs&first guess

4DVarAnalysis (trajectory+TL evolved increment) able to correct the background (lack of convection) due to available aircraft Obs and background error statistics
courtesy Mike Rennie
Data assimilation: “convective” analysis increments

Slight change in large-scale conditions (CAPE/CIN) in analysis and convection is produced with right intensity and produces the 20 m/s outflow
Tropical Forecast Biases and Physics

Forecasts of tropical atmosphere are naturally very sensitive to any changes in the convective heating rates

- Tropical variability (waves, cyclones and Madden-Julian oscillation) are strongly affected by the convective heating

- The convergence/precipitation in the ITCZ and Headly/Walker circulations strongly affected by the deep convection, but equivalent important is the representation of shallow convection in the subtropics determining the moist low-level flow in the Tropics

- On the longer term (10-20 days) the tropical atmosphere is in radiative convective equilibrium, so that the detrainment of water substance by the convection significantly affects the upper-tropospheric temperature and moisture biases

- The upper-tropospheric wind biases are also strongly affected by the entrainment coefficient in the momentum flux formulation - “cumulus friction” and organized mass detrainment
Large-scale waves and diurnal cycle
Heating rates from DYNAMO: getting it right and importance of mixed phase – melting level

J.-E Kim et al. 2017, JAS
Precipitation JJA: Sensitivity to Model Formulation

Seasonal integrations

Still problems in coupled mode in Western Pacific warm pool
Diurnal cycle of Precipitation JJA: Amplitude (mm/d)
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Exp= Cy40r1
Diurnal cycle of Precipitation JJA: Phase (LST) was a remaining problem until recently.
Diurnal evolution of total heating profile minus radiation

**Convection**

- Shallow convection
- Deep convection
- Turbulent heat flux
- Congestus
Mesoscale convective systems, their propagation and the diurnal cycle

Figure: Sequence of Meteosat 10 infrared and TRMM rainfall radar sequences over Sahel and reforecast for 8 Aug 2017 at 4 km with (operational and planned Cy48r1 version) and without deep convection parametrization
Lightning and the diurnal cycle

**Figure:** The lightning product has been developed by **P. Lopez** (MWR 2019) and uses the CAPE, frozen water content and cloud base height from the convection.
Probabilistic lightning prediction from ensemble forecasts

Ensemble forecast from oper 45r1 esuite
Probability[flash density > 0.1 fl/100km²/h]
Base: 1 June 2018 00Z, range: T+12 to T+15h

Observations:
ATDnet lightning flash densities
1 June 2018 from 12Z to 15Z

The lightning parametrisation strongly depends on the convection parametrisation as it takes as input: CAPE, convective cloud base height and frozen water content (P. Lopez, MWR, 2016)
Ensemble representation SPP

**Figure:** Pdf of perturbed convection parameters and standard deviation (spred) of total precipitation during August from 15-member ensemble runs.
Convection-Dynamics: Mass flux (A)dvection to be done by explicit dynamics

Difficulties: (1) Advection computed differently in Physics and SL dynamics: non-conservation (abandoning flux form, different time levels)
(2) Coupling with microphysics

see S. Malardel and P. Bechtold (2019), earlier work by N. Wedi; Kuell, A. Gassmann and Bott 2007
Change in T Budgets, how much of total is $A$ doing?

**Change in Dynamics**

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial t}_{\text{conv}} = g \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ M^u (\psi^u - \bar{\psi}) + M^d (\psi^d - \bar{\psi}) \right] + S; \quad \bar{M} = M^u + M^d + M^{\text{env}} = 0
\]

**Change in Convection**

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial t}_{\text{conv}} = g \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ M^u \psi^u + M^d \psi^d \right] - g \frac{\partial (M^u + M^d)}{\partial p} \bar{\psi} + S + A
\]

\[
A = -g (M^u + M^d) \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial p} = \omega \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial p}; \quad \text{Div}[s^{-1}] = -g \frac{\Delta M}{\Delta p}
\]
Convective products, forecasting and discussion of weather maps

The prediction of (convective) rainfall by the model is not always perfect, but! The large-scale situation is generally well-forecasted by the model. Therefore, a good forecaster should be able to predict regions of convective activity from the large-scale fields.

…….. it will be shown that with the present forecast system (8-30 km resolution) strongly forced mesoscale convection with trailing stratiform area can be reasonably well predicted typically a few days in advance.
Wind Gusts in the IFS

Gusts are computed by adding a turbulence component and a convective component to the mean wind:

\[
U_{gust} = U_{10} + 7.71 U_\star f(z/L) + 0.6 \max(0, U_{850} - U_{925})
\]

where \(U_{10}\) is the 10m wind speed (obtained as wind speed at first model level, or interpolated down from 75m level), \(U_\star\) is the friction velocity - itself obtained from the wind speed at the first model level, and \(L\) is a stability parameter.

The convective contribution is computed using the wind shear between model levels corresponding to 850 hPa and 950 hPa, respectively.
Wind gusts 8 Feb 2016 12 UTC

Gust

Wind speed

Obs
Reminder: Midlatitude Convection
Forcing of ageostrophic circulations/convection in the right entrance and left exit side of upper-level Jet

\[ \frac{du}{dt} = f(v - v_g) \equiv f v_a \]

Thermally indirect circulation

Which area (+ -) is the most likely to produce intense convection?

Thermally direct circulation
Reminder: Troughs or PV anomalies
“horizontal” cross section of Geopotential on constant pressure surface or PV on constant potential temperature surface

- It is equivalent to look at Troughs at constant pressure surface or to look at PV at constant potential temperature surfaces
- To know what is going on in the atmosphere it is sufficient to look at the low-level perturbation (flow) and at the upper-level flow (perturbation)
- If we look at PV (derivatives) instead of Geopotential we will see more structure

Ex. of Geopotential at 500 hPa or PV at 330 K

Favorable area for convection
Reminder: PV thinking
the atmosphere below and above a PV anomaly
(vertical cross section)

There is a cyclonic vortex around the upper-level PV anomaly (the tropopause is marked by the pink line). The atmosphere below the anomaly is relatively cold and less stable.
Tornadic case from 4 May 2003
Upper-level flow: 250 hPa Wind vector + isotachs, 330 K PV

GOES IR-ECMWF Analysis 20030505 0 UTC: 250 hPa Wind (vector+isotachs)
Tornadic case from 4 May 2003
Upper-level flow: 250 hPa Wind vector+Isotachs(shaded), 330 K PV, 850 hPa Thetae

Analysis

Note: the crossing of the low-level flow (high Thetae=high CAPE) and the upper-level Jet at around 40°N. The region where Tornadoes have been observed is marked by the pink rectangle

48-60h Forecast
Tornadic case from 4 May 2003
Forecasted Soundings at (40N/95W) at t+48/54/60/66 h

Low-level heating and veering (warm advection) of geostrophic wind for 48h profile; then upper level cold advection and backing of wind (green profile)

Low-level cooling (downdraughts), and upper-level cooling in stratospheric descent at approaching PV anomaly.
Black Sea system: 6 July 2012
V-shaped System
Black Sea system: 6 July 2012 (2)
fc WV image, convective precipitation and shear
Black Sea system: 6 July 2012 (3)
Probabilities CAPE & precipitation
French Floods: 1-3 December 2003 (1)
IR animation  V-shaped system
French Floods: 3 December 2003 (2)
upper/lower-level 48h Forecast
French Floods: 1/2 December 2003 (4)

Precipitation verification

Total precipitation over 24 hours

NUMBERS: observations

FC: 2003112912  RANGE: 42 - 66  VT: 2003120106 to 2003120206

N=1685  BIAS= 0.55  STDEV= 8.42  MAE= 2.65

Thin numbers=Obs
Thick numbers= max.
Forecast values
Examples of convective situations over Europe
July 2001 –
Convection in cut-off low, partly orographically forced over Iberian Peninsula and frontal/prefrontal convection over Eastern Europe
Examples of convective situations over Europe: 2 July 2001 – upper/lower level Analysis

Convection in cut-off low, partly orographically forced over Iberian Peninsula and frontal/prefrontal convection over Eastern Europe

330 K PV (blue isolines), 250 hPa wind arrows and isotachs (grey shaded), 850 hPa Thetae (colour shaded), 700 hPa Geopot (blue isolines), 700 hPa omega (colour shaded), and 925 hPa wind arrows
Examples of convective situations over Europe: 2 July 2001 – Sounding

Convection in cut-off low, partly orographically forced over Iberian Peninsula and frontal/prefrontal convection over Eastern Europe

The Sounding for La Coruna (NW Spain close to coast) shows upper-level instability, but low-level inhibition that could be overcome by orographic uplifting or low-level heating of air mass further inside land
Examples of convective situations over Europe: 4 July 2001
Convection bringing hail in SW France, associated with strong uplift in Trough and high Thetae; typical SW-NE propagation of convective systems
Examples of convective situations over Europe: 4 July 2001 – upper/low level Analysis

Convection over Western, Eastern Europe and Tunisia, bringing hail in SW France, associated with strong uplift in Trough and high Thetaees

330 K PV (blue isolines), 250 hPa wind arrows and isotachs (grey shaded), 850 hPa Thetaees (colour shaded)

700 hPa Geopot (blue isolines), 700 hPa omega (colour shaded), and 925 hPa wind arrows
Examples of convective situations over Europe 4 July 2001 – soundings and moist adjustment

Convection bringing hail in SW France, associated with strong uplift in Trough and high Thetae

Pre-convective Sounding with strong inhibition layer and instability above 700 hPa

during convection significant cooling below 500 hPa: removed inhibition, quasi-moist adiabate, moistening through uplift