### Warm Conveyor Belts and Cloud Radiative Forcing

WCB – WORKSHOP

ECMWF, March 12<sup>th</sup> 2020

Hanna Joos and Heini Wernli

IAC, ETH Zürich

University of Dundee, Satellite Receiving Station

Clouds  $\rightarrow$  strong impact on radiative budget

Definition of cloud forcing at TOA:

- 1. Shortwave cloud forcing
- 2. Longwave cloud forcing
- 3. Net cloud forcing



→ negative
→ positive



W m<sup>-2</sup>



#### Clouds → strong impact on radiative budget Clouds → are formed by different weather systems in storm track mainly by extra-tropical cyclones



Clouds → strong impact on radiative budget Clouds → are formed by different weather systems in storm track mainly by extra-tropical cyclones



main cloud producing airstream = Warm Conveyor Belt

Clouds  $\rightarrow$  strong impact on radiative budget

Clouds  $\rightarrow$  are formed by different weather systems

in storm track mainly by extra-tropical cyclones



main cloud producing airstream = Warm Conveyor Belt

#### WCB Climatology, 1979 – 2011, ERAInterim



Madonna et al., 2014

#### WCB Climatology, 1979 – 2011, ERAInterim



Madonna et al., 2014

#### WCB Climatology, 1979 – 2011, ERAInterim



Madonna et al., 2014

#### **Example of WCB and Cloud Radiative Forcing (CRF)**



#### **Example of WCB and Cloud Radiative Forcing (CRF)**



#### Mean TLW, TIW along WCB trajectories

Northern Hemisphere winter (DJF) whole climatology (1979 – 2011)



Joos 2019, Journal of Climate

#### Mean Cloud Radiative Forcing along WCB trajectories



Joos 2019, Journal of Climate

#### Mean Cloud Radiative Forcing along WCB trajectories



Joos 2019, Journal of Climate

#### Zonal vs. poleward moving WCBs

zonal = lat  $< 50^{\circ}$  at all times during ascent

poleward =  $\triangle$ lat > 30 ° and lat@t=48h > 65°



#### Zonal vs. poleward moving WCBs



#### Zonal vs. poleward moving WCBs



iving Station, University of Dundee



University of Dundee, Satellite Receiving Station

total liquid water (TLW) [g m<sup>-2</sup>]



○ / O = position of WCB at 30 January 2009, 12 / 18 UTC

total liquid water (TLW) [g m<sup>-2</sup>] 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 50 15°E 45°W 30°W 15°W 30°E 10 shortwave cloud forcing (SCF) [W m<sup>-2</sup>]

-10 -20 -40 -60 -80 -100 -120 -140 -160 15°E 30°E 45°W 30°W 15°W 0° -180





![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

net cloud forcing (NCF) [W m<sup>-2</sup>]

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

net cloud forcing (NCF) [W m<sup>-2</sup>]

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

→Calculation of WCB related NCF at every 6h timestep during whole climatology at every gridpoint → (WCB) →Calculation of time mean WCB related NCF (NCF<sub>WCB</sub>)

→Calculation of NOT WCB related NCF at every 6h timestep during whole climatology at every gridpoint →Calculation of time mean NOT WCB related NCF (NCF<sub>NOWCB</sub>)

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

CB)

Climatological mean value of NCF can be decomposed into:

## $NCF = NCF_{WCB} * f_{WCB} + NCF_{NOWCB} (1 - f_{WCB})$

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

"WCB – Effect (NCF<sub>WCB</sub> – NCF<sub>NOWCB</sub>)" 90°N 25 20 45°N 15 10 5 0° -1 -10 45°S -20 -30 -40 90°S -50 90°E 180 180

- "WCB Effect" is to
  - decrease NCF in inflow regions
  - increase NCF in outflow regions
- → increase zonal NCF gradient in winter hemisphere
- → strongly decrease NCF in summer hemisphere

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Joos 2019, Journal of Climate

10

6

-5

-8

-10

-15

-20

**Decomposition of NCF climatology** 

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Decomposing the climatological signal can help to disentangle the simulated changes in NCF in the extra-tropics in a future climate and to assign it to

 $\rightarrow$  Dynamical changes (WCB ascent locations and frequency)

→ Microphysical changes, represented by the "WCB-effect" (NCF<sub>WCB</sub> – NCF<sub>NOWCB</sub>)

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **Summary and Conclusion**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

- WCBs are frequent flow features
- associated with elongated cloud bands
- associated with high values of
- $\rightarrow$  total condensate
- $\rightarrow$  cloud radiative forcing
- transition from neg. to pos. NCF from WCB start to outflow
- poleward motion essential
- WCB increase zonal NCF gradient in winter
- Decomposition allows investigating effect of changes in cloud properties vs. frequency of WCBs

→ Strong link highlights importance of correct representation of WCBs in climate models for the radiative budget

# WCB as Lagrangian flow feature

#### Warm Conveyor Belts (WCB)

• strongly ascending airstreams in extratropical cyclones (e.g. Harrold, 1973; Carlson, 1980)

• formation of elongated cloud band with liquid, mixed-phase and ice clouds (e.g. Browning, 1986; Madonna, 2014; Joos and Wernli, 2012)

• produces most of the precipitation occurring in an extra- tropical cyclone (e.g. Browning, 1990; Wernli, 1997; Pfahl 2014) Outflow in

p [hPa] 20090130\_00 **troposphere** hPa Definition of WCB: 80N 000 1000 Trajectories with ascent 70N 900 > 600 hPa in 48 h 800 1000 Toon 1020 -60N 700 600 50N 500 1010-400 0 ,1010 40N 300 Start in 200 70 **boundary** layer

20W

0E

20E

40E

upper

# WCB as Lagrangian flow feature

#### Warm Conveyor Belts (WCB)

• strongly ascending airstreams in extratropical cyclones (e.g. Harrold, 1973; Carlson, 1980)

• formation of elongated cloud band with liquid, mixed-phase and ice clouds (e.g. Browning, 1986; Madonna, 2014; Joos and Wernli, 2012)

• produces most of the precipitation occurring in an extra- tropical cyclone (e.g. Browning, 1990; Wernli, 1997; Pfahl 2014)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Clouds $\rightarrow$ strong impact on radiative budget

Net cloud forcing (TOA) DJF

(CERES, 2000 - 2010)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)