

How do diabatic processes in WCBs affect circulation and Rossby waves?



John Methven, Ben Harvey, Leo Saffin, Jake Bland Department of Meteorology, University of Reading

Claudio Sanchez, Met Office

Copyright University of Reading

Influences of latent heat release on development of weather systems



- 1. Diabatic heating intensifies ascent, vortex stretching and baroclinic growth rate
- 2. Majority of ascent occurs in "*warm conveyor belt*" (WCB) of cyclones (poleward moving, warm, moist air)
- Heating enables ascent across surfaces of constant potential temperature (θ) - *diabatic mass transport*
- 4. Mass outflow of WCBs into the upper troposphere in the *ridges of meandering jet stream*

Q.1 What fraction of mass in a ridge arrives by diabatic transport?Q.2 What influence can it have on Rossby wave behaviour?

Overarching scientific aim of NAWDEX:

to quantify the effects of diabatic processes on disturbances to the jet stream near North America, their influence on downstream propagation across the North Atlantic, and consequences for high-impact weather in Europe.



Features related to the meandering tropopause and jet stream (orange is stratospheric air; cyan marks upper tropospheric PV anomalies).

Air mass changes following WCB





WCB frequently defined as a coherent ensemble of trajectories

Wernli and Davies, 1997, QJRMetS

Climatology from

Madonna et al, 2014, J. Climate

- A. θ increases by greater than isentropic spread of the inflow or outflow layer of the CET
- B. Although potential vorticity (PV) increases below heating maximum, it decreases again above.
 PV of outflow ≈ PV of inflow

Why is PV constrained in this way?
 Implications for role of heating?

PV evolution equation (I)

Lagrangian form of Ertel PV equation (change following 3-D trajectories):

$$\rho \frac{DP}{Dt} = \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \nabla \, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \nabla \times \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

PV increases below heating maximum (and decreases above it).

However, there is not an obvious constraint on PV values (P).

First step: re-write as flux (or local conservation) form of PV equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho P) + \nabla \cdot (\rho P \boldsymbol{u}) = \nabla \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\zeta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{F} \times \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{RHS is "non-advective PV-flux divergence" arising from non-conservative processes (heating, <math>\dot{\theta}$, and friction, F)

(Haynes & McIntyre, 1987, JAS)

PV evolution equation (II)

Impermeability theorem

First, define the local normal vector to local isentropic surfaces as

 $\boldsymbol{n} = \nabla \theta / |\nabla \theta|$

and split the absolute vorticity into components that are normal and parallel to the local isentropic surface:

 $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n})\boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{//}$

Second step: re-write the flux form PV equation as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho P) + \nabla \cdot (\rho P \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = \nabla \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{//} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{F} \times \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) = -\nabla \boldsymbol{J}$$

Using $\rho P = (\boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) |\nabla \theta|$ and partitioning velocity into cross-isentropic and along-isentropic components : $\dot{\theta}$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_J = rac{\theta}{|
abla heta|} \boldsymbol{n} \qquad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_J$$

 $\Rightarrow PV impermeability theorem (Haynes \& McIntyre, 1990, JAS)$ $(\rho P \tilde{u} + J). n = 0 There can be no PV flux across isentropic surfaces$

Integral PV conservation (circulation)

Integrate **PV equation** over control volume (lateral boundary velocity V_{b})

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \iiint rq \,\mathrm{d}A \,\mathrm{d}\theta + \iint \{rq \,(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{b}}) + \mathbf{J}\} \cdot \mathbf{n} \,\mathrm{d}l \,\mathrm{d}\theta = 0,$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(C\Delta\theta\right) = \Delta\theta\frac{\mathrm{d}C}{\mathrm{d}t} = 0$$

Conservation of circulation, C (if $V_{\rm b}$ =V & J-integral = 0)

Integrate mass continuity over control volume

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint r \, dA \, d\theta + \iint r \left(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_b \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dl \, d\theta$$
$$+ \iint \left[r \dot{\theta} \right]_{bot}^{top} dA = 0$$
$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -D_{top} + D_{bot}$$

Mass-weighted average PV or amount of PV substance divided by mass (Haynes & McIntyre, 1990) $M_1(\tau)$ θ

Diabatic mass flux convergence "dilutes" average PV

$$\langle q \rangle = \frac{\int \!\!\!\int \!\!\!\int r q \, dA \, d\theta}{\int \!\!\!\int \!\!\!\int r \, dA \, d\theta} = \frac{C \Delta \theta}{M}$$

PV in warm conveyor belts



Consider two such volumes, in isentropic layers representing the inflow and outflow of a warm conveyor belt.

Heating \Rightarrow **diabatic mass transport** from lower to upper volume

Concentrates PV substance of "inflow volume" and dilutes outflow PVS



Methven, Q. J. Royal Met. Soc. (2015)

Is this conceptual picture realised?





Examine NAWDEX case 3 (Jake Bland's MSc) IR satellite image and corresponding PV map: 12UT 23/9/2016

Defining outflow volume using net heating





Black contour = lateral boundary of "outflow volume" on θ -surface

Outflow volume at outflow time





Black contour = lateral boundary of "outflow volume" on 325K surface Yellow = release locations of 3D back trajectories from outflow volume Green contour = tropopause on 325K

Following backwards (T-18)





Contour = lateral boundary of "outflow volume" on 325K surface Colour dots = θ at locations of 3D back trajectories from outflow volume Green contour = tropopause on 325K

Following backwards (T-30)





Contour = lateral boundary of "outflow volume" on 325K surface Colour dots = θ at locations of 3D back trajectories from outflow volume Green contour = tropopause on 325K

PV in warm conveyor belts



Consider two volumes, in isentropic layers representing the inflow and outflow of a warm conveyor belt.

 $Heating \Rightarrow diabatic \ mass \ transport \ from \ lower \ to \ upper \ volume$

Concentrates PV substance of "inflow volume" and dilutes outflow PVS



Methven, Q. J. Royal Met. Soc. (2015)



Fractional variation in circulation of outflow volume is small

 $\frac{\Delta C}{C_2(\tau)} < 0.05$

Verifying that circulation is conserved even though diabatic processes are strong within WCB

Large increase in mass of outflow volume (x2) by diabatic mass transport from below:

$$\frac{\Delta M}{M_2(\tau)} = 0.44 \pm 0.02$$

Consistent with Pfahl et al (2015), Nat. Geo.





Large **increase in mass of outflow volume** (x2) by diabatic mass transport But, **circulation is almost invariant**. Consequence of **PV impermeability theorem** (HM 1987, 1990).

Then, why is outflow size important to Rossby wave evolution?

Can write Kelvin's circulation as an area integral of vorticity:

$$C = \int_{\partial S} \underline{u}.d\underline{l} = \iint_{S} (\nabla \times \underline{u}).d\underline{S} = \langle f + \xi \rangle S$$

and mass of outflow in terms of average isentropic density: $M = \langle r \rangle S \Delta \theta$

Fractional changes *due to diabatic mass transport* can be related: $\frac{\Delta M}{M_{ad}} = \frac{\Delta S}{S_{ad}} + \frac{\Delta r}{r_{ad}} \text{ and using } \Delta C = 0 \text{ we also have } \frac{\Delta \zeta}{\zeta_{ad}} = -\frac{\Delta S}{S_{ad}} \text{ and}$

 $\frac{\Delta P}{P_{ad}} = \frac{\Delta \zeta}{\zeta_{ad}} - \frac{\Delta r}{r_{ad}} = -\frac{\Delta M}{M_{ad}}$ Inversion of PV anomalies \Rightarrow equipartition between

vorticity (area) anomalies and stratification (r) anomalies.





As described in case study of "forecast bust" by Grams, Magnusson & Madonna (2018), *QJRMetS*





Distorts Rossby wave by advection

Conclusions



1. Key ingredients to a WCB (even with embedded convection):

- a. Net heating ($\Delta \theta$) is large, separating "inflow" & "outflow" layers
- b. Horizontal advection on each isentropic surface is dominated by the "balanced flow" (including ageostrophic motion)

2. Influence of latent heating on PV and circulation

PV impermeability theorem is a key constraint (*no PV flux across θ-surface*)
 Kelvin's circulation is conserved in the outflow layer (*even with heating*)

3. Diabatic mass transport and consequences for Rossby waves

- WCB net heating determines **diabatic mass transport and outflow level**
- Can *double* mass of outflow volume
- Mass increase partitioned between area & density increase (PV inversion)
- \blacktriangleright Anticyclonic relative vorticity increase ∞ area increase of divergent outflow
- Larger –ve anomaly distorts flow downstream \Rightarrow AC wave breaking

Thankyou for your attention

When does PV outflow = PV inflow?



Under what conditions does average PV of outflow = PV of inflow? $\langle q \rangle_2(\tau_2) = \langle q \rangle_1(\tau_1)$

$$\frac{C_2 \Delta \theta_2}{M_2}(\tau_2) = \frac{C_1 \Delta \theta_1}{M_1}(\tau_1)$$

Define outflow volume to encompass the air that has experienced substantial heating by time $\tau_2 \rightarrow \Delta \theta_2$, $M_2(\tau_2)$, $C_2(\tau_2)$

Conservation of circulation for upper volume: $C_2(\tau_1) = C_2(\tau_2)$

Define lateral boundaries of inflow and outflow volumes to match, $t \ge \tau_1$ $\rightarrow V_b$ and thus circulation must match Appears justified comparing 3D and isentropic trajectories from outflow

volume (backwards to time τ_1) $C_1(\tau_1) \approx C_2(\tau_1) = C_2(\tau_2)$

If we choose $M_1(\tau_1) \approx M_2(\tau_2)$ i.e., *initial mass inflow = final mass outflow* then PV relation satisfied subject only to depth $\Delta \theta_1 \approx \Delta \theta_2$