Planetary Boundary Layer 3

Parametrization and model errors

Annelize van Niekerk, Irina Sandu, Anton Beljaars

Annelize.vanNiekerk@ecmwf.int



Contents

- Empirical forms of K-closure terms history of observations and methods
- Uncertainty in observations and the need for 'tuning'
- Description of IFS scheme
- Sensitivity of forecasts to uncertainties in BL scheme
- Model issues in stable boundary layers
- Using prognostic TKE



Empirical surface layer stability functions



This means we can get profiles of \overline{u} and θ from flux



This means we can get surface fluxes

Momentum

$$\rho \overline{u'w'} = \rho u_*^2 = \rho C_M |\overline{u_z}|^2$$

Thermodynamics

$$\rho \overline{\theta' w'} = \rho u_* \theta_* = \rho C_H (\overline{\theta_z} - \overline{\theta_s}) |\overline{u_z}|$$

Surface exchange coefficient for heat:

$$C_{\rm H} = \frac{\kappa^2}{\left[\log\left(\frac{z+z_{0m}}{z_{0m}}\right) - \Psi_{\rm M}\left(\frac{z+z_{0m}}{L}\right)\right] \left[\log\left(\frac{z+z_{0m}}{z_{0H}}\right) - \Psi_{\rm H}\left(\frac{z+z_{0m}}{L}\right)\right]}$$
Surface exchange coefficient for momentum:

$$C_{\rm M} = \frac{\kappa^2}{\left[\log\left(\frac{z+z_{0m}}{z_{0m}}\right) - \Psi_{\rm M}\left(\frac{z+z_{0m}}{L}\right)\right]^2}$$



Empirical stability functions Cookbook

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}$, $\overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability







Empirical stability functions Cookbook

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}$, $\overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



• Mix well to form:

- Richardson number:
$$Ri = \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}}$$

- Dimensionless wind shear: $\phi_M = \frac{\kappa z}{u_*} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$
 - Dimensionless temperature gradient: $\phi_H = \frac{\kappa z}{\theta_*} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$



Empirical stability functions Cookbook – Businger et al (1970)

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



Haugen et al 1971

• Mix well to form:

– Richardson number:
$$Ri = rac{g}{ heta} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

Dimensionless wind shear:
$$\phi_M = rac{\kappa z}{u_*} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

– Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

aD

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$



Empirical stability functions Cookbook – Businger et al (1970)

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability

• Mix well to form:

- Richardson number:
$$Ri = rac{g}{ heta} rac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z}$$

Dimensionless wind shear: $\phi_M = rac{\kappa z}{u_*} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$

Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

20

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$



Empirical stability functions Cookbook – Businger et al (1970)

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights

Heat flux

-2

OBSERVED H (mw cm⁻²)

-3

Wide range of sampled stability

Momentum flux

20 ' 30 OBSERVED u * (cm sec⁻¹) 40



- Richardson number:
$$Ri = rac{g}{ heta} rac{\partial O}{\partial z} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

- Dimensionless wind shear:
$$\phi_M = \frac{\kappa z}{u_*} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

– Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

aD

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$

Spread in the heat fluxes are large in stable regimes

Plots show observed vs calculated heat and momentum fluxes in stable situations

CALCULATED

ECMWF

10

<u>5</u> 30

CALCULATED "***** 8

0

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability





Also 'Horizontally homogeneous, flat terrain',

...and mostly unstable conditions

• Mix well to form:

– Richardson number:
$$Ri = rac{g}{ heta} rac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

- Dimensionless wind shear: $\phi_M = \frac{\kappa z}{u_*} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$

- Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

ລຸດ

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$



- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



Unstable cases

• Mix well to form:

- Richardson number:
$$Ri = rac{g}{ heta} rac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$$

- Dimensionless wind shear: $\phi_M = \frac{\kappa z}{u_*} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$

– Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

ລຸດ

– Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = rac{z}{L} = z rac{\kappa g heta' w'}{ heta u_*^3}$$

There is some disagreement in the functions, depending on where the measurements were taken



- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



• Mix well to form:

– Richardson number:
$$Ri = rac{g}{ heta} rac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

Dimensionless wind shear:
$$\phi_M = \frac{\kappa z}{u_*} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

– Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

20

Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = rac{z}{L} = z rac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$

There is a large spread in the observed values – making it difficult to fit

Mosso et al, 2023



- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



• Mix well to form:

- Richardson number:
$$Ri = \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}}$$

Dimensionless wind shear:
$$\phi_M = \frac{\kappa z}{u_*} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

- Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_{H}=rac{\kappa z}{ heta_{*}}rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$

There is large divergence in the commonly used functions in stable cases – fluxes are small and difficult to measure Mosso et al, 2023



Empirical stability functions Cookbook – SHEBA site

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



• Mix well to form:

- Richardson number:
$$Ri = \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}}$$

- Dimensionless wind shear:
$$\phi_M = rac{\kappa z}{u_*} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

– Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$

Note that $\frac{z}{L}$ stopped at 2 in Businger et al (1970)



CECMWF

Observations can only take us so far....

Nighttime (stable regime) temperatures were too cold



Beljaars, 1991



Observations can only take us so far....

Nighttime (stable regime) temperatures were too cold

Mixing was increased in stable BLs

 10^{-1}

 10^{-3} +

 10^{-5}

 10^{-7}

0

20

40

f_m, f_h

LTG f_h

MO f_h

LTG fm

MO f_m







60

80

100



Observations can only take us so far....

27

Nighttime (stable regime) temperatures were too cold

Mixing was increased in stable BLs





$$\overline{u'w'} \sim -l^2 \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} f_M(Ri)$$



Summary of empirical stability functions

- Accurate, high frequency and high vertical resolution measurements are required
- Functions used to relate the fluxes and gradients are highly empirical
- Resulting 'universal' functions vary from region to region and have large spread
- This could be due to:
 - Heterogeneity of the surface
 - Other processes acting on the profiles (e.g. radiation)
 - Large observational uncertainty in stable conditions when fluxes are small
 - Monin-Obukhov theory not suitable



Description of the current ECMWF IFS scheme



Description of the current IFS scheme





Description of the current IFS scheme





Local similarity theory in the outer layer

Momentum

$$\overline{u'w'} \sim -K_M \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} = -l^2 \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right| f_M(Ri) \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z}$$

 In stable conditions, the mid and upper boundary layer may not be in equilibrium with the surface fluxes

- Local fluxes and stability (*Ri*) dominate
- Local similarity states that the surface layer functions can be used in the outer layer:

$$K_H = \frac{l^2}{\phi_H(\zeta)\phi_M(\zeta)} \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right|$$

$$K_M = \frac{l^2}{\phi_M^2(\zeta)} \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right|$$

Thermodynamics

$$\overline{\theta'w'} \sim -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z} = -l^2 \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right| f_H(Ri) \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z}$$

Use the relation

$$Ri = \zeta \, \frac{\phi_H(\zeta)}{\phi_M^2(\zeta)}$$

to convert ζ to the gradient Richardson number in the outer layer

Description of the current IFS scheme

'Free' atmosphere: $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ K_{ϕ} above surface: Stable surface layer Unstable surface layer Monin-Obukhov, Ri < 0Outer layer: Louis, Ri > 0 $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}$ Outer layer: $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}$ Entrainment level EDMF: $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} + M(\overline{\phi}^{u} - \overline{\phi}^{e})$ Lowest model level C_{ϕ} in surface layer: Surface layer: $\phi' w'_s = C_{\phi} (\overline{\phi_z} - \overline{\phi_s}) |\overline{u_z}|$ Monin-Obukhov, 0 < Ri < 0



Impact of changing empirical functions



Impact of changing functions

'Free' atmosphere: $\phi'w' = -K_{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ K_{ϕ} above surface: Stable surface layer Unstable surface layer Monin-Obukhov, Ri < 0Outer layer: Louis, Ri > 0 $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}$ **Outer layer:** Entrainment level $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}$ EDMF: $\overline{\phi'w'} = -K_{\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} + M(\overline{\phi}^{u} - \overline{\phi}^{e})$ Lowest model level C_{ϕ} in surface layer: Surface layer: $\phi' w'_s = C_{\phi} (\overline{\phi_z} - \overline{\phi_s}) |\overline{u_z}|$ Monin-Obukhov, 0 < Ri < 0



Impact of changing functions (outer layer)





Changing these back has a large impact on the forecast...



Mixing was increased in stable BLs



$$\overline{\theta'w'} \sim -l^2 \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right| \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z} f_H(Ri)$$

$$\overline{u'w'} \sim -l^2 \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} f_M(Ri)$$



Changing these back has a large impact on the forecast...



Impact of changing functions (stratosphere)

 K_{ϕ} above surface:





Impact of changing functions (stratosphere)



- Typically, the same exchange coefficients are used in the stratosphere as in the outer / mixed layer
 - There is little constraint on the exchange coefficients in the stratosphere, where the flow is very stable
- Reducing diffusion in the stratosphere (above the tropopause) leads to improved winds and a better Quasibiennal Oscillation of the winds in the tropics

Impact of changing functions (stratosphere)



- Typically, the same exchange coefficients are used in the stratosphere as in the outer / mixed layer
 - There is little constraint on the exchange coefficients in the stratosphere, where the flow is very stable
- Reducing diffusion in the stratosphere (above the tropopause) leads to improved winds and a better Quasible biennal Oscillation of the winds in the tropics

Zonal winds averaged between 5S – 5N

Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long

The Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO) has too weak amplitude and does not descend far enough



wind (m/s)

 \supset

- 0

-12

-24





Zonal winds averaged between 5S – 5N

Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long

The Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO) has too weak amplitude and does not descend far enough



wind (m/s)

 \supset

0

-12

-24





Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long

The Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO) has too weak amplitude and does not descend far enough

Reducing vertical diffusion in the stratosphere improves the QBO amplitude and slightly improves its descent



Zonal winds averaged between 5S – 5N

CECMWF

Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long

The Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO) has too weak amplitude and does not descend far enough

Reducing vertical diffusion in the stratosphere improves the QBO amplitude and slightly improves its descent



wind (m/s)

 \supset

Zonal winds averaged between 5S – 5N



Current model has too weak winds in the QBO positive phase



Plot shows 50 hPa zonal winds averaged between 5S – 5N Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long



Current model has too weak winds in the QBO positive phase and negative phase



Plot shows 50 hPa zonal winds averaged between 55 – 5N Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long



Reduced diffusion improves model winds in the QBO positive phase



Reduced diffusion improves model winds in the QBO positive phase but does not make things better at the longer range



Current near-surface model issues in stable boundary layers



Near surface errors in stable conditions – 2m T



Plots show mean error of maximum (Tmax) and minimum (Tmin) 2m temperatures over 2018/2019 compared with SYNOP observations

Maximum (daytime) temperatures too cold

Sandu et al, 2020, ECMWF Tech memo 875



Near surface errors in stable conditions – 2m T



Sandu et al, 2020, ECMWF Tech memo 875

Plots show mean error of maximum (Tmax) and minimum (Tmin) 2m temperatures over 2018/2019 compared with SYNOP observations

Maximum (daytime) temperatures too cold

Minimum (nighttime) temperatures too warm

Mirrors the seasonal cycle



Near surface errors in stable conditions – 10 UV

12 UTC

00 UTC



Sandu et al, 2020, ECMWF Tech memo 875

Plots show mean error of 10m wind over 2018/2019 compared with SYNOP observations

Daytime winds generally too weak

Nighttime / wintertime winds generally too strong



Near surface errors in stable conditions

Temperature and wind errors largest at night

Wind and temperature errors are strongly correlated

Winds are too strong, 2m temperatures are too warm

Winds are too weak, 2m temperatures are too cold



Plots show binned mean bias of 10m wind and 2m temperature compared with SYNOP observations

Figures c/o Zied Bouallegue

Near surface errors in stable conditions

Temperature and wind errors largest at night

Wind and temperature errors are strongly correlated

Winds are too strong, 2m temperatures are too warm

Winds are too weak, 2m temperatures are too cold

Largest temperature errors in stable / weak wind conditions

Figures c/o Zied Bouallegue



Plots show binned mean bias of 10m wind and 2m temperature compared with SYNOP observations

Too much turbulent mixing in stable conditions

Surface sensible heat flux (Summit, greenland)



 $SHF = \rho C_H |U_{10m}| (T_{10m} - T_s)$

Comparison between model and observations suggest too much turbulent mixing in stable conditions



Remember when there was not enough mixing?

Nighttime (stable regime) temperatures were too cold

Mixing was increased in stable BLs

60

80

100

LTG f_h

MO f_h

LTG fm

MO f_m



What has happened since?



CECMWF

Impact of removing $\frac{z}{L}$ limit: temperatures

Heat flux = $\rho C_H \left(\frac{z}{L}\right) |U_n| (\theta_n - \theta_s)$





Impact of removing $\frac{z}{L}$ limit: temperatures

Heat flux =
$$\rho C_H \left(\frac{z}{L}\right) |U_n| (\theta_n - \theta_s)$$



Does not work globally and leads to runaway cooling – especially over mountainous regions

Suggests that additional mixing is required in stable conditions, particularly over mountains (perhaps from processes other than turbulence)

Change in 2m T with lead time T+48 T+72 Mean error of 2m T with lead time Z2T: Tropics –20° to 20°, sfc Z2T: NH 20° to 90°, sfc Z2T: SH -90° to -20°, sfc 0.08 -0.17-0.2 -0.18 -0.3 0.06 -0.19 -0.4 0.04 -0.20 -0.5 0.02 -0.21 -0.6



CECMWF

Empirical stability functions Cookbook – SHEBA site

- Ingredients:
 - Accurate surface layer fluxes ($\overline{u'w'}, \overline{\theta'w'}$)
 - Wind and temperature profiles at several heights
 - Wide range of sampled stability



• Mix well to form:

- Richardson number:
$$Ri = \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}}$$

- Dimensionless wind shear:
$$\phi_M = rac{\kappa z}{u_*} rac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

– Dimensionless temperature gradient:
$$\phi_H = rac{\kappa z}{ heta_*} rac{\partial heta}{\partial z}$$

- Dimensionless height:
$$\zeta = \frac{z}{L} = z \frac{\kappa g \theta' w'}{\theta u_*^3}$$

Note that $\frac{z}{L}$ stopped at 2 in Businger et al (1970)



CECMWF

Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) closure



'Local' turbulence closure: eddy diffusion above the surface

Momentum
$$\overline{u'w'} \sim -K_M \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z}$$

Thermodynamics

$$\overline{\theta'w'} \sim -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z}$$

 K_M , K_H and K_q are the exchange coefficients of momentum, heat and moisture

Their magnitude determines the transfer of these conserved quantities from turbulent eddies



'Local' turbulence closure: eddy diffusion above the surface



Thermodynamics

$$\overline{\theta'w'} \sim -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z} = -l^2 \left| \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} \right| f_H(Ri) \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z}$$



 $f_M(Ri)$, $f_H(Ri)$ determined empirically and depend on Ri(z), since we are away from the surface



Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) closure

Momentum

 $\overline{u'w'} \sim -K_M \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} = -C_k \chi_3 (Ri_f^*) \sqrt{e_k} L_k \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z}$

Thermodynamics

$$\overline{\theta'w'} \sim -K_H \frac{\partial\overline{\theta}}{\partial z} = -C_k C_3 \phi_3 (Ri_f^*) \sqrt{e_k} L_k \frac{\partial\overline{\theta}}{\partial z}$$



Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) closure

Momentum $\overline{u'w'} \sim -K_M \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} = -C_k \chi_3 (Ri_f^*) \sqrt{e_k} L_k \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z}$

Thermodynamics

$$\overline{\theta'w'} \sim -K_H \frac{\partial\overline{\theta}}{\partial z} = -C_k C_3 \phi_3 (Ri_f^*) \sqrt{e_k} L_k \frac{\partial\overline{\theta}}{\partial z}$$

TKE $\sqrt{e_k}$ - measure of the turbulence intensity

 C_k , C_3 - closure constants

Stability functions $\chi_3(Ri_f^*)$, $\phi_3(Ri_f^*)$ - influence of stratification, uses flux Richardson number Ri_f^*

Lengthscale L_k - defines the scale of the turbulence



Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) closure: it is prognostic

Advantage of the prognostic TKE is that it has 'memory', is advected and involves physical source terms :

$$\frac{\partial e_k}{\partial t} = -u \cdot \nabla e_k - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_{e_k} \frac{\partial e_k}{\partial z} \right) - ST + BT - \epsilon_k$$
Advection Turbulent diffusion



Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) closure: it is prognostic

Advantage of the prognostic TKE is that it has 'memory', is advected and involves physical source terms :

$$\frac{\partial e_k}{\partial t} = -u \cdot \nabla e_k - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_{e_k} \frac{\partial e_k}{\partial z} \right) - ST + BT - \epsilon_k$$

Advection Turbulent diffusion

TKE:

$$\sqrt{e_k} = \frac{\overline{u'u'} + \overline{v'v'} + \overline{w'w'}}{2}$$

 $BT = \frac{g}{\theta} \overline{\theta' w'} \approx -\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}} \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$

Buoyancy production

Shear production:

$$ST = -\overline{u'w'}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \overline{v'w'}\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \approx K_{\rm M} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right|$$

Dissipation:

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{2e_k}{\tau_k} \approx C_\epsilon \frac{e_k^{\frac{2}{3}}}{L_\epsilon}$$



Impact of TKE on low level cloud cover



Stratoculums topped PBLs are very sensitive to mixing



The presence of stratocumulus is sensitive to:

- Small variations in humidity

Mixing in stratocumulus clouds is more complex due to:

- Stronger entrainment from free atmosphere
- Condensation within cloud
- Radiative heating/cooling, which is essential for cloud evolution



Stratoculums topped PBLs are very sensitive to mixing



The presence of stratocumulus is sensitive to:

- Small variations in humidity
- Small variations in temperature

Mixing in stratocumulus clouds is more complex due to:

- Stronger entrainment from free atmosphere
- Condensation within cloud
- Radiative heating/cooling, which is essential for cloud evolution



Impact of TKE on low level clouds



Figures c/o Ivan Bastak-Duran

Cloud top [r

turbulence scheme underestimates low cloud cover

ECMWF

Current

Impact of TKE on low level clouds



Figures c/o Ivan Bastak-Duran

Impact of TKE on low level clouds

Current scheme cannot maintain low cloud – mixed too rapidly

TKE scheme has low cloud even at a lead time of 3 days



Duran

Summary of BL parametrization

• Empirical stability functions:

- Functions used to relate the fluxes and gradients are highly empirical
- Uncertainty (especially in stable regimes) means they are sometimes 'tuned'
- IFS parametrization:
 - Due to the uncertainty in the stability functions, different forms are used throughout the atmosphere
 - EDMF is used in unstable BLs below cloud top
- Sensitivity to changing stability functions:
 - Reverting the stability function to their 'empirical' form degrades the forecast, due to reduced mixing
 - However, less mixing in the stratosphere improves the winds in the tropics
- TKE:
 - The TKE scheme benefits from having memory and being advected by the flow
 - TKE improves the representation of low cloud cover

CECMWF