## **Orographic drag and gravity wave drag**

#### Annelize van Niekerk, Irina Sandu, Anton Beljaars

Annelize.vanNiekerk@ecmwf.int



© ECMWF November 24, 2023

# Contents

- Different drag processes in the atmosphere
- Orographic gravity wave drag
- Orographic flow blocking drag
- Turbulent orographic form drag
- Non-orographic gravity wave drag



non-orographic gravity wave drag

Orographic flow blocking drag

Propagating orographic gravity wave drag

Turbulent orographic drag

Turbulent / roughness drag



non-orographic gravity wave drag

> Propagating orographic gravity wave drag

Orographic flow blocking drag

Turbulent orographic

drag



#### 



In stably stratified atmosphere, this leads to denser air being pushed up











Potential



This creates a vertically propagating wave throughout the atmosphere







#### **C**ECMWF



#### 

# They affect Polar Vortex Variability

#### During Vortex breakdown



# Gravity waves change the winds and temperatures in the Polar Vortex



NASA Ozone watch

#### **C**ECMWF

# Stratosphere is important for surface predictability

NEWS

BBC A annelize

Home | Coronavirus | Climate | UK | World | Business | Politics | Tech | Science | Health | Family & Education

News

Weather

iPlayer

Sounds

Sport

Home

World Africa Asia Australia Europe Latin America Middle East US & Canada

۰

#### Polar vortex death toll rises to 21 as US cold snap continues

() 1 February 2019





Chicago's frozen shoreline

At least 21 people have died in one of the worst cold snaps to hit the US Midwest in decades.

<u>nature</u> > <u>communications earth & environment</u> > <u>articles</u> > article

#### Article | Open Access | Published: 23 July 2021

#### Northern hemisphere cold air outbreaks are more likely to be severe during weak polar vortex conditions

Jinlong Huang, Peter Hitchcock 🖾, Amanda C. Maycock, Christine M. McKenna & Wenshou Tian 🖾

 Communications Earth & Environment
 2, Article number: 147 (2021)
 Cite this article

 2074
 Accesses
 10
 Altmetric
 Metrics

#### Abstract

Severe cold air outbreaks have significant impacts on human health, energy use, agriculture, and transportation. Anomalous behavior of the Arctic stratospheric polar vortex provides an important source of subseasonal-to-seasonal predictability of Northern Hemisphere cold air outbreaks. Here, through reanalysis data for the period 1958–2019 and climate model simulations for preindustrial conditions, we show that weak stratospheric polar vortex conditions increase the risk of severe cold air outbreaks in mid-latitude East Asia by 100%, in contrast to only 40% for moderate cold air outbreaks. Such a disproportionate increase is also found in Europe, with an elevated risk persisting more than three weeks. By analysing the stream of polar cold air mass, we show that the polar vortex affects severe cold air outbreaks by modifying the inter-hemispheric transport of cold air mass. Using a novel method to assess Granger causality, we show that the polar vortex provides predictive information regarding severe cold air outbreaks over multiple regions in the Northern Hemisphere, which may help with mitigating their impact.





non-orographic gravity wave drag

> Orographic flow blocking drag

Propagating orographic gravity wave drag

Turbulent orographic

drag

Turbulent / roughness drag

# Orographic flow blocking and gravity wave drag



2.5 km model simulation over the Antarctic Peninsula with Met Office Unified Model

# Strong surface wind $\rightarrow$ large amplitude waves

~100 km

#### Weak surface wind $\rightarrow$ flow is blocked

Vertical Velocity

Wind speed



### Orography and model resolution



# Resolved gravity wave drag increases when more mountains are resolved



# Orography and model resolution





#### **C**ECMWF

Momentum



Mass Continuity

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$\frac{D\theta}{Dt} = \frac{\theta}{T} \frac{\dot{Q}}{c_p}$$
**ECMWF**

Momentum

 $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = -\rho g$ 

Mass Continuity

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$\frac{D\theta}{Dt} = 0$$

Following approximations are made:

Cartesian coordinates Shallow atmosphere No rotation Adiabatic + incompressible Hydrostatic Steady state

#### Momentum

$$U\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x} + V\frac{\partial u'}{\partial y} + w'\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p'}{\partial x}$$
$$U\frac{\partial v'}{\partial x} + V\frac{\partial v'}{\partial y} + w'\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p'}{\partial y}$$
$$\frac{\partial p'}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w'}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U\frac{\partial\theta'}{\partial x} + V\frac{\partial\theta'}{\partial y} + w'\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Following approximations are made:

Cartesian coordinates Shallow atmosphere No rotation Adiabatic + incompressible Hydrostatic Steady state

Linearised :  $u = U(z) + u'(x, y, z), u'u' \sim 0$ 

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$
$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$
$$\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

Mass Continuity

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U \,\hat{\theta} ik + V \,\hat{\theta} il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$



Transform to spectral space:

$$w' \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{w} \exp(i(kx + ly)) dk dl$$

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$
$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$
$$\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$



Mass Continuity

$$\widehat{u}ik + \widehat{v}il + \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U \,\hat{\theta} i k + V \,\hat{\theta} i l + \widehat{w} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Transform to spectral space:

$$w' \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{w} \exp(i(kx+ly)) dk dk$$

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$
$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$
$$\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U \,\hat{\theta} i k + V \,\hat{\theta} i l + \widehat{w} \,\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Combine equations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{w}}{\partial z^2} + \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2}\right]\widehat{w} = 0$$

Solution:

$$\widehat{w} = \widehat{w}_0 \exp(imz), m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$$



Satellite derived image of temperature perturbations from a gravity wave

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$
$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$
$$\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U \,\hat{\theta} i k + V \,\hat{\theta} i l + \hat{w} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Combine equations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{w}}{\partial z^2} + \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2}\right]\widehat{w} = 0$$

Solution:

$$\widehat{w} = \widehat{w}_0 \exp(imz), m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$$



Satellite derived image of temperature perturbations from a gravity wave

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$
$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$
$$\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

Combine equations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{w}}{\partial z^2} + \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2}\right] \widehat{w} = 0$$

Solution:

$$\widehat{w} = \widehat{w}_0 \exp(imz)$$
,  $m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$ 

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U\,\hat{\theta}ik + V\,\hat{\theta}il + \widehat{w}\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

At surface the flow follows the mountain:  $w'(x, y, 0) = \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla h$ Surface vertical velocity:  $\widehat{w}_0 \sim i(Uk + Vl)\widehat{h}$ 

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$

$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$

$$\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

$$\frac{d \,(U,V)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho \overline{u'w'}, \rho \overline{v'w'}\right)$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U \,\widehat{\theta} i k + V \,\widehat{\theta} i l + \widehat{w} \,\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Assume that vertical momentum flux dominates

# Expression for the surface momentum flux is given by mountain height

Linear hydrostatic gravity wave surface stress in spectral space:



$$= A^{-1} \rho_0 N_o 4\pi^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(k,l)}{\kappa} (U_0 k + V_0 l) \left| \hat{h} \right|^2 dk \, dl$$

 $\tau_{x}, \tau_{y} = \left(\rho_{0}\overline{u'w'}, \rho_{0}\overline{v'w'}\right) = \left(\rho_{0}\overline{\hat{u}\widehat{w}^{*}}, \rho_{0}\overline{\hat{v}\widehat{w}^{*}}\right)$ 

 $\rho_0 = \text{Density}$   $N_0 = \text{Stability}$  k, l = zonal and meridional wavenumber  $K = (k + l)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  A = Area  $U_0, V_0 = \text{Surface wind}$ 

 $|\hat{h}|$  = Spectral transform of mountain height



# Mountains are assumed to be ellipses



Linear hydrostatic gravity wave surface stress:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{x}, \tau_{y} &= A^{-1} \rho_{0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u', v') w' dx dy \\ &= A^{-1} \rho_{0} N_{o} 4 \pi^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k, l}{K} (U_{0} k + V_{0} l) \left| \hat{h} \right|^{2} dk dk \end{aligned}$$

#### $|\hat{h}|$ = Fourier transform of surface height

Assume elliptical mountains (Lott and Miller 1997, Phillips 1984):

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = G\rho N \frac{1}{4a} h_{eff}^2(\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{D})$$

Mountain half-width Effective mountain height Mountain anisotropy

$$\mathbf{h}_{eff} = min\left(h, \frac{U}{NF_c}\right)$$

### Parametrizing flow blocking drag

Gravity wave drag:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = G\rho N \frac{1}{4a} h_{eff}^2(\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{D})$$

Mountain half-width Effective mountain height Mountain anisotropy

$$\mathbf{h}_{eff} = min\left(h, \frac{U}{NF_c}\right)$$

 $h = n\sigma$  $\sigma$  = standard deviation of subgrid orography

#### **C**ECMWF

# Parametrizing flow blocking drag

Gravity wave drag:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = G\rho N \frac{1}{4a} h_{eff}^2(\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{D})$$

1

Elow blocking drag.

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{U}}{dt} \sim -C_d \rho |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} max \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}, 0\right) \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{Z_{blk} - z}{z + \sigma}\right)^2 \boldsymbol{D}$$

Mountain half-width Effective mountain height Mountain anisotropy Mountain aspect ratio Blocking depth

$$\mathbf{h}_{eff} = min\left(h, \frac{U}{NF_c}\right)$$

$$Z_{blk} = h - h_{eff}$$



 $h = n\sigma$  $\sigma$  = standard deviation of subgrid orography

# Parametrizing flow blocking drag

Gravity wave drag:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = G\rho N \frac{1}{4a} h_{eff}^2(\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{D})$$

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{U}}{dt} \sim -C_d \rho |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} max \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}, 0\right) \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{Z_{blk} - z}{z + \sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{D}$$

Mountain half-width Effective mountain height Mountain anisotropy Mountain aspect ratio Blocking depth

$$\mathbf{h}_{eff} = min\left(h, \frac{U}{NF_c}\right)$$

$$Z_{blk} = h - h_{eff}$$

Flow past a bluff body:





1

 $h = n\sigma$  $\sigma$  = standard deviation of subgrid orography

#### Parametrizing gravity wave propagation and breaking

#### Incoming wind forces air over mountain



**C**ECMWF

### Parametrizing gravity wave propagation and breaking

$$\eta(z) = \eta(z-1) \sqrt{\frac{\rho(z-1)N(z-1)U(z-1)}{\rho(z)N(z)U(z)}}$$

 $\eta(z)$  = Amplitude at particular height

U = wind in direction of wave vector N = Brunt-Vaisala frequency (stability)  $\rho =$  density

# A vertically propagating wave is generated



 $\eta(z_0) = h_{eff}$ , wave amplitude at surface

# Parametrizing gravity wave propagation and breaking

$$\eta(z) = \eta(z-1) \sqrt{\frac{\rho(z-1)N(z-1)U(z-1)}{\rho(z)N(z)U(z)}}$$

 $\eta(z)$  = Amplitude at particular height

U = wind in direction of wave vector N = Brunt-Vaisala frequency (stability)  $\rho =$  density

### As density decreases with height, the amplitude grows



 $\eta(z_0) = h_{eff}$  , wave amplitude at surface
#### Parametrizing gravity wave propagation and breaking

$$\eta(z) = \eta(z-1) \sqrt{\frac{\rho(z-1)N(z-1)U(z-1)}{\rho(z)N(z)U(z)}}$$

 $\eta(z)$  = Amplitude at particular height

U = wind in direction of wave vector N = Brunt-Vaisala frequency (stability)  $\rho =$  density

When Ri 
$$\left\{ \frac{1 - \left(\frac{N\eta}{U}\right)}{\left(1 + Ri^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{N\eta}{U}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \right\} > Ri_{crit},$$
  
 $\eta$  is reduced



 $\eta(z_0) = h_{eff}$  , wave amplitude at surface

#### Parametrizing gravity wave propagation and breaking

$$\eta(z) = \eta(z-1) \sqrt{\frac{\rho(z-1)N(z-1)U(z-1)}{\rho(z)N(z)U(z)}}$$

 $\eta(z)$  = Amplitude at particular height

U = wind in direction of wave vector N = Brunt-Vaisala frequency (stability)  $\rho =$  density

When Ri 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{N\eta}{U}\right)}{\left(1 + Ri^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{N\eta}{U}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \end{cases} > Ri_{crit}, \\ \eta \text{ is reduced} \\ \frac{d(U, V)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\tau_{x}, \tau_{y}\right) \\ \tau_{x}, \tau_{y}(z) \propto \eta^{2}(z) \end{cases}$$

As density decreases with height, the amplitude grows, until the wave breaks 10 km Height  $n(z_0)$ 

 $\eta(z_0) = h_{eff}$ , wave amplitude at surface

# Resolved gravity wave drag increases when more mountains are resolved





Resolved GW momentum flux decreases at larger grid-lengths

Plots show: zonal mean zonal gravity wave<sub>van Niekerk et al</sub> momentum fluxes at 7 km above sea level <sup>(2021)</sup>

**C**ECMWF



Resolved GW momentum flux decreases at larger grid-lengths

Parametrized GW momentum flux is almost insensitive to gridlength

Plots show: zonal mean zonal gravity wave<sub>van Niekerk et al</sub> momentum fluxes at 7 km above sea level <sup>(2021)</sup>



Resolved GW momentum flux decreases at larger grid-lengths

Parametrized GW momentum flux is almost insensitive to gridlength Total GW momentum flux is significantly underestimated at large grid-lengths

Plots show: zonal mean zonal gravity wave<sub>van Niekerk et al</sub> momentum fluxes at 7 km above sea level <sup>(2021)</sup>



Plots show: zonal mean zonal wind error relative to analysis at lead time of 5 days van Niekerk et al (2021)





Resolved GW momentum flux decreases at larger grid-lengths

Parametrized GW momentum flux is almost insensitive to gridlength Total GW momentum flux is significantly underestimated at large grid-lengths

Plots show: zonal mean zonal gravity wave<sub>van Niekerk et al</sub> momentum fluxes at 7 km above sea level <sup>(2021)</sup>

### Parametrization









## Mountains are assumed to be ellipses



Linear hydrostatic gravity wave surface stress:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{x}, \tau_{y} &= A^{-1} \rho_{0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u', v') w' dx dy \\ &= A^{-1} \rho_{0} N_{o} 4 \pi^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k l}{K} (U_{0} k + V_{0} l) \left| \hat{h} \right|^{2} dk \, dl \end{aligned}$$

 $\left| \hat{h} \right|$  = Fourier transform of surface height

Assume elliptical mountains (Lott and Miller 1997, Phillips 1984):

 $h_{eff} = min$ 

 $= G\rho N \frac{1}{\Lambda q}$ 

Mountain half-width Effective mountain height Mountain anisotropy



Resolved GW momentum flux decreases at larger grid-lengths

Parametrized GW momentum flux increases at larger gridlength Total GW momentum flux is almost constant at different grid-lengths

Plots show: zonal mean zonal gravity wave<sub>van Niekerk et al</sub> momentum fluxes at 7 km above sea level <sup>(2021)</sup>







non-orographic gravity wave drag

> Orographic flow blocking drag

Propagating orographic gravity wave drag

Turbulent orographic drag

Turbulent / roughness drag

#### Derivation of gravity wave momentum fluxes

Momentum

$$U \,\hat{u}ik + V \,\hat{u}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}ik$$
$$U \,\hat{v}ik + V \,\hat{v}il + \hat{w} \,\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\hat{p}il$$
$$U \,\hat{w}ik + V \,\hat{w}il = -\frac{1}{\rho} \,\frac{\partial \,\hat{p}}{\partial z} - g \,\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta_0}$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$U \,\widehat{\theta} ik + V \,\widehat{\theta} il + \widehat{w} \,\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Combine equations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{w}}{\partial z^2} + \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2} - k^2\right] \widehat{w} = 0$$

Non-hydrostatic solution:

$$\widehat{w} = \widehat{w}_0 \exp(imz)$$
,  $m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(Uk + Vl)^2} - k^2\right]$ 

If  $m^2 < 0$ , the wave is not propagating

### Non-propagating (evanescent) waves

Propagating wave



Plots show the streamline displacement induced by the wave

**C**ECMWF

## Non-propagating (evanescent) waves



## Turbulent orographic form drag



In evanescent waves, the near-surface turbulent stress causes a deepening of the boundary layer on the leeside of the hill

This deepening leads to an asymmetry in the flow over the mountain, which results in a drag on the atmosphere – termed turbulent orographic form drag

**EEFCMWF** 

#### Non-propagating wave



Turbulent surface stress for one mountain:

 $\tau_{TOFD} = \rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |U|^2$ 



Turbulent surface stress for one mountain:

 $\tau_{TOFD} = \rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |U|^2$ 

Vertically distributed drag for one mountain:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t}_{TOFD} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)$$

#### **C**ECMWF

Turbulent surface stress for one mountain:

 $\tau_{TOFD} = \rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |U|^2$ 

Vertically distributed drag for one mountain:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t_{TOFD}} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h}|^2 |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)$$

Drag from several mountain waves:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t_{TOFD}} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} \int_{k_0}^{\infty} k^2 |\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{zk}{2}\right) dk$$

 $|\hat{h}|$  = Spectral transform of mountain height

#### **ECMWF**



Turbulent surface stress for one mountain:

 $\tau_{TOFD} = \rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |U|^2$ 

Vertically distributed drag for one mountain:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t}_{TOFD} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h}|^2 |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)$$

Drag from several mountain waves:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t_{TOFD}} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} \int_{k_0}^{\infty} k^2 |\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{zk}{2}\right) dk$$

 $|\hat{h}|$  = Spectral transform of mountain height

#### **C**ECMWF

#### Power spectrum of orography from 100m data



Turbulent surface stress for one mountain:

 $\tau_{TOFD} = \rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |U|^2$ 

Vertically distributed drag for one mountain:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t_{TOFD}} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h}|^2 |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)$$

Drag from several mountain waves:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t_{TOFD}} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} \int_{k_0}^{\infty} k^2 |\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{zk}{2}\right) dk$$

## Power spectrum of orography from 100m data



 $|\hat{h}|$  = Spectral transform of mountain height

#### 

Turbulent surface stress for one mountain:

 $\tau_{TOFD} = \rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot h|^2 |U|^2$ 

Vertically distributed drag for one mountain:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t_{TOFD}} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h}|^2 |\boldsymbol{U}| \boldsymbol{U} exp\left(-\frac{z}{l}\right)$$

Drag from several mountain waves:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t}_{TOFD} = -\rho 2\alpha\beta C_{TOFD} |\boldsymbol{U}|\boldsymbol{U}2.109 \exp\left(-\left(\frac{z}{1500}\right)^{1.5}\right) a_2 z^{-1.2}$$

#### Power spectrum of orography from 100m data



 $\left| \hat{h} \right|$  = Spectral transform of mountain height

#### **ECMWF**

non-orographic gravity wave drag

> Orographic flow blocking drag

Propagating orographic gravity wave drag

Turbulent / roughness drag

Turbulent orographic

drag

#### Non-orographic gravity wave drag

Brightness Temperature Perturbations from AIRS satellite at ~ 40 km ASL

AIRS | 2019-01-01, 13:30 LT



'Non-orographic' gravity waves are all gravity waves not generated by mountains

They can be generated from:

- front\jet instabilities
- convection
  - secondary gravity wave breaking

They are typically smaller amplitude and, therefore, can reach very high up in the atmosphere before breaking

They are not 'steady' (as with mountain waves) and so their phase varies in space and time

#### 

#### Non-orographic gravity wave drag - convection





Heating is imposed near the surface  $\rightarrow$  leads to vertical displacement

In stable atmosphere, this generates a wave, much like flow over mountains

Some of the waves begin to break and generate turbulence where their speed == the background wind speed (thin blue line)

This is a 'critical line' where wave 'drags' the flow

Momentum

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial u'}{\partial y} + w' \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x}$$
$$\frac{\partial v'}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial v'}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y} + w' \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial y}$$
$$\frac{\partial p'}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w'}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$\frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial y} + w' \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Following approximations are made:

Cartesian coordinates Shallow atmosphere No rotation Adiabatic + incompressible Hydrostatic Not steady state

Linearised :  $u = U(z) + u'(x, y, z, t), u'u' \sim 0$ 

Momentum



CECMWF

Momentum

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{u}i\omega + U\,\hat{u}ik + V\,\hat{u}il + \hat{w}\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} &= -\frac{1}{\rho}\,\hat{p}ik \\ -\hat{v}i\omega + U\,\hat{v}ik + V\,\hat{v}il + \hat{w}\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} &= -\frac{1}{\rho}\,\hat{p}il \\ \frac{\partial\,\hat{p}}{\partial z} &= -\rho g \end{aligned}$$

Combine equations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{w}}{\partial z^2} + \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^2}\right]\widehat{w} = 0$$

Solution:

$$\widehat{w} = \widehat{w}_0 \exp(imz), m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\hat{u}ik + \hat{v}il + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$-\hat{\theta}i\omega + U\,\hat{\theta}ik + V\,\hat{\theta}il + \hat{w}\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

Momentum

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{u}i\omega + U\,\hat{u}ik + V\,\hat{u}il + \hat{w}\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} &= -\frac{1}{\rho}\,\hat{p}ik\\ -\hat{v}i\omega + U\,\hat{v}ik + V\,\hat{v}il + \hat{w}\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} &= -\frac{1}{\rho}\,\hat{p}il\\ \frac{\partial\,\hat{p}}{\partial z} &= -\rho g \end{aligned}$$

Combine equations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{w}}{\partial z^2} + \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^2}\right]\widehat{w} = 0$$

Solution:

$$\widehat{w} = \widehat{w}_0 \exp(imz), m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$$

**Mass Continuity** 

$$\widehat{u}ik + \widehat{v}il + \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

Thermodynamics

$$-\hat{\theta}i\omega + U\,\hat{\theta}ik + V\,\hat{\theta}il + \hat{w}\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial z} = 0$$

There is not a simple surface boundary condition (as with mountains) for this problem

We do not know the nature of the sources well enough



Empirical relationship between the momentum fluxes and vertical wavenumber is assumed

Relationship is assumed to hold for every k and  $\omega$ 

$$m^{2} = \left[\frac{N^{2}(k^{2} + l^{2})}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^{2}}\right]$$

#### **C**ECMWF



Empirical relationship between the momentum fluxes and vertical wavenumber is assumed

Relationship is assumed to hold for every k and  $\omega$ 

$$m^{2} = \left[\frac{N^{2}(k^{2} + l^{2})}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^{2}}\right]$$



Empirical relationship between the momentum fluxes and vertical wavenumber is assumed

Relationship is assumed to hold for every k and  $\omega$ 

$$m^{2} = \left[\frac{N^{2}(k^{2} + l^{2})}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^{2}}\right]$$

Scheme then uses discretely 'binned' values of kand  $\omega$ , and solves for these individually

#### **C**ECMWF



Empirical relationship between the momentum fluxes and vertical wavenumber is assumed

Relationship is assumed to hold for every k and  $\omega$ 

$$m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$$

Scheme then uses discretely 'binned' values of kand  $\omega$ , and solves for these individually

Waves are then saturated (only at large m) using:

$$\tau(z,m,k,\omega) < \tau_{sat}(z,m,k,\omega)$$

$$\tau(z,m,k,\omega) == \tau_{sat}(z,m,k,\omega)$$



Total drag is given by the sum of fluxes over bins:

$$\frac{d |U|}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \sum_{\omega} \sum_{-k} \tau(z, m, k, \omega) \right)$$

Empirical relationship between the momentum fluxes and vertical wavenumber is assumed

Relationship is assumed to hold for every k and  $\omega$ 

$$m^2 = \left[\frac{N^2(k^2 + l^2)}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^2}\right]$$

Scheme then uses discretely 'binned' values of kand  $\omega$ , and solves for these individually

Waves are then saturated (only at large m) using:

$$\tau(z,m,k,\omega) < \tau_{sat}(z,m,k,\omega)$$

$$\tau(z, m, k, \omega) == \tau_{sat}(z, m, k, \omega)$$



Total drag is given by the sum of fluxes over bins:

$$\frac{d |U|}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \sum_{\omega} \sum_{-k} \tau(z, m, k, \omega) \right)$$

Empirical relationship between the momentum fluxes and vertical wavenumber is assumed

Relationship is assumed to hold for every k and  $\omega$ 

$$m^{2} = \left[\frac{N^{2}(k^{2} + l^{2})}{(\omega - Uk + Vl)^{2}}\right]$$

Scheme then uses discretely 'binned' values of kand  $\omega$ , and solves for these individually

Waves are then saturated (only at large m) using:

$$\tau(z,m,k,\omega) < \tau_{sat}(z,m,k,\omega)$$

$$\tau(z, m, k, \omega) == \tau_{sat}(z, m, k, \omega)$$
### Getting the QBO right

#### Reduced diffusion improves model winds in the QBO positive phase



**C**ECMWF

# Getting the QBO right

Reduced diffusion improves model winds in the QBO positive phase but does not make things better at the longer range



### Tuning non-orographic gravity wave drag

Increased non-orographic gravity wave drag makes the wind evolution better



# Tuning non-orographic gravity wave drag

Increased non-orographic gravity wave drag makes the wind evolution better – but the winds transition to negative too quickly



### Tuning non-orographic gravity wave drag

Fine tuning the increased gravity wave drag gives better transition to negative QBO phase



Plot shows 50 hPa zonal winds averaged between 5S – 5N Seasonal hindcasts run with the ECMWF IFS, 7 months long

#### Summary of orographic drag and gravity wave drag

- Orographic gravity wave drag:
  - These are waves generated by flow over mountains and lead to drag in the upper atmosphere
  - In the model, the mountains are assumed to be ellipses (not good for resolution sensitivity)
- Orographic flow blocking:
  - Flow blocking occurs when the surface wind is weak or the stability is very high
  - This drag occurs near the surface, around the mountains
- Turbulent orographic form drag:
  - Occurs when there is turbulent stress near mountains that generate non-propgating waves
  - Assumed to be from small-scale mountain < 5 km wide
- Non-orographic gravity wave drag:
  - This is drag from all gravity wave sources that are not from mountains
  - The source of these waves are assumed to follow an empirical relationship between vertical wavenumber (m) and momentum flux

#### **ECMWF**