ECMWF Data Assimilation Training course

Coupled land-atmosphere data assimilation

Patricia de Rosnay

7 Mars 2024



Outline

Introduction

- Snow analysis
- Soil moisture analysis
- Summary

ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System (IFS)



Coupled medium-range forecast model

Data assimilation: atmosphere (4D-Var), land

(SEKF,OI), waves (OI), ocean/sea ice (3D-Var)

ECMWF EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

Earth system approach



- Consistency of the infrastructure and coupling approaches across the different components
- Modularity to account for the different components in coupled assimilation
- Relevance of interface observations

Coupled Assimilation for operational NWP at ECMWF



EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

© ECMWF

5

Coupled DA \rightarrow P. Browne

Land Surface Data Assimilation (LDAS) for NWP



- Vertical correlations dominate land surface processes. Each grid point is analysed independently. Land data assimilation is a 2D problem, whereas atmospheric DA is a 4D problem → Separate Land & atmospheric DA systems.
- Flexibility to run offline land analysis without the expensive 4D-Var component

Land Surface Data Assimilation (LDAS) for NWP

Snow depth

- Methods: 2D Optimal Interpolation (OI) (ECMWF operational and ERA5, Env. Canada Clim. Ch., JMA)
- Conventional Observations: in situ snow depth
- Satellite data: NOAA/NESDIS IMS Snow Cover Extent (ECMWF), H-SAF snow cover (UKMO in dvpt)

Soil Moisture

- Methods:
 - -1D Optimal Interpolation (Météo-France, Env. Canada CC, ALADIN and HIRLAM)
 - 1D-EnKF (Env. Canada CC)
 - Simplified Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) (DWD, ECMWF, UKMO)
- <u>Conventional observations</u>: Analysed 2m air relative humidity (RH2m) and temperature (T2m), from 2D

OI screen level parameters analysis (using SYNOP observations)

- Satellite data : ASCAT soil moisture (UKMO, ECMWF, KMA), SMOS (ECMWF, ECCC), SMAP (ECCC)

Soil Temperature and Snow temperature

- 1D OI for the first layer of soil and snow temperature (ECMWF, Météo-France)
- 1D-EnKF (ECCC) using AIRS, CrIS and IASI

Outline

- Introduction
- Snow analysis
- Soil moisture analysis
- Summary

Snow in the ECMWF IFS for NWP

Snow Model: Component of H-TESSEL (Dutra et al., JHM 2010, Balsamo et al JHM 2009) - Single layer snowpack until 2023 (Dutra et al, JHM 2010,

- Multi-layer snowpack from June 2023 (Arduini et al., James 2019)
 - Snow water equivalent SWE (m)
 - Snow Density ρ_s

Observations: de Rosnay et al ECMWF Newsletter 2015

- Conventional snow depth data: SYNOP and National networks
- Snow cover extent: NOAA NESDIS/IMS daily product (4km)

Data Assimilation: de Rosnay et al SG 2014

- Optimal Interpolation (OI) is used to optimally combine the model first guess, in situ snow depth and IMS snow cover
- Analysis of SWE and snow density
 - \rightarrow used to initialize NWP.



Prognostic

variables



Land observing system: the example of in situ snow depth

Near-Real-Time access to observations



From 29 March 2023

Snow depth availability on the Global Telecommunication System (GTS)

WIGOS (WMO Integrated Global Observing System) Newsletter April 2023 https://community.wmo.int/en/news/wigos_newletters

Importance of data exchange and WMO

- Several Groups and Teams at WMO
 - Global Cryosphere Watch (GCW)
 - > Joint Expert Team on Earth Observing System Design and Evolution (JET-EOSDE)

 \rightarrow snow data exchange WMO regulation, <u>BUFR template</u>



Increase in available snow depth data from distinct SYNOP stations reporting in BUFR SYNOP on GTS from 2015 to 2020.

WIGOS Newsletter April 2020



Snow depth Optimal Interpolation (OI)

- 1. Observed first guess departure Δf_i are computed from the interpolated background at each observation location i.
- 2. Snow depth (S) analysis increments ΔS_k^a at each model grid point k are calculated from:

$$\Delta \mathbf{S}_k^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{w}_i \times \Delta f_i$$

- 3. The optimum weights w_i are given for each grid point k by: $(\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{p}$
- **p** : **background error vector** between model grid point k and observation n (dimension of N observations) $p(i) = \sigma_{b}^2 \mu(i,k)$
- **P** : correlation coefficient matrix of background field error between all pairs of observations $(N \times N \text{ observations}); P(i_1,i_2) = \sigma_b^2 \times \mu(i_1,i_2)$ with the correlation coefficients $\mu(i_1,i_2)$.
- **R** : covariance matrix of the observation error (N \times N observations):

 $\mathbf{R} = \sigma_{o}^{2} \times \mathbf{I}$

with and $\sigma_b = 3$ cm the standard deviation of background errors, σ_o the standard deviation of observation errors (4cm in situ, 8cm IMS)

Snow depth Optimal Interpolation (OI)

Correlation coefficients $\mu(i_1,i_2)$ (structure function):

$$\mu(i_1, i_2) = (1 + \frac{\mathbf{r}_{i_1 i_2}}{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{x}}) \exp\left(-\left[\frac{\mathbf{r}_{i_1 i_2}}{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{x}}\right]\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\left[\frac{\mathbf{z}_{i_1 i_2}}{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{z}}\right]^2\right)$$

Lz; vertical length scale: 800m, **Lx:** horizontal length scale: 55km $r_{i1,i2}$ and $Z_{i1,i2}$ the horizontal and vertical distances between points i_1 and i_2

Quality Control: reject observation if first guess departure > Tol $(\sigma_b^2 + \sigma_o^2)^{1/2}$ with Tol = 5 →Observation rejected if first guess departure larger than 25 cm for in situ (and 43 cm for IMS)

Redundancy rejection: use observation reports closest to analysis time And use a maximum of 50 observations per grid point



Structure function

Horizontal component of the structure function \rightarrow

Cressman Interpolation: (Cressman, MWR 1959) Used in ERA-Interim and NWP until 2010

Optimal Interpolation:

Used in ERA5 and NWP since 2010.

OI has longer tails than Cressman and considers more observations. Model/observation information optimally weighted using error statistics.



Assimilation of IMS snow cover



Model relation between SC and SD

Snow assimilation: Forecast impact



Impact on snow October 2012 to April 2013 (251 independent *in situ* observations)



Impact on atmospheric forecasts October 2012 to April 2013 (RMSE new-old)



→ Consistent improvement of snow and atmospheric forecasts

de Rosnay et al., ECMWF Newsletter 143, Spring 2015



Snow cover coupled data assimilation impact over the Tibetan Plateau



{T+24; 500hPa}Change in zonal wind{T+48; 500hPa} Oct 2011 – June 2012



Impact on albedo and momentum \rightarrow Modifies the jet circulation

Change in humidity FC error Oct 2011 – June 2012





Further snow data assimilation improvements planned for ERA6

Refined snow cover modelling and assimilation methodology.

- \rightarrow positive impact of IMS snow cover assimilation in mountainous areas
- \rightarrow IFS cycle 49r1 & 49r2 (ERA6 and ERA6-Land)





K. Ochi et al.

.....

tropics

ccaf/seeps rmsef/sdef

Snow reanalysis from ERA5 to ERA6

- Step change in the ERA5 snow mass from 2004 (IMS snow cover started to be assimilated)
- Snow DA reduced the positive snow cover bias, but it amplified the snow mass negative trend

ERA6-Land 1st prototype (1939-2022)

ERA6:

- Snow model and a set of snow data assimilation improvements
- ESA CCI Cryoclim (1987-2010) + NOAA/NESDIS IMS (2010-NRT)







Funded by the European Union

Summary on snow analysis

- 1. Snow initialisation has a large impact on Numerical Weather Forecast
- 2. Not all NWP systems have a snow analysis Snow data assimilation in NWP systems relies on relatively simple approaches
- 3. DA of *in situ* snow depth and snow cover (IMS used at ECMWF)
 - In situ snow depth reporting: issues on availability and reporting practices
 - National Met services encouraged to improve snow depth reports availability on the Global Telecommunication System (GTS)
- 4. Current and future developments: aim at using level 1 satellite data to analyse snow water equivalent (mass) \rightarrow Require appropriate satellite mission and adequate observation operator

Outline

- Introduction
- Snow analysis
- Soil moisture analysis
- Summary

A history of soil moisture analysis at ECMWF

> Nudging scheme (1995-1999): soil moisture increments Δx (m³m⁻³):

 $\Delta x = \Delta t D C_v (q^a - q^b)$ D: nudging coefficient (constant=1.5g/Kg), $\Delta t = 6h$, q specific humidity Uses upper air analysis of specific humidity Prevents soil moisture drift in summer

> Optimal interpolation 1D OI (1999-2010)

 $\Delta X = \alpha \left(T^{a} - T^{b} \right) + \beta \left(Rh^{a} - Rh^{b} \right)$

 α and β : optimal coefficients

Mahfouf, ECMWF News letter 2000. Douville et al., Mon Wea. Rev. 2000

OI soil moisture analysis based on a dedicated screen level parameters (T2m Rh2m) analysis

Simplified Extended Kalman Filter (SEKF), Nov 2010-2019

Motivated by better using T2m, RH2m -

- Drusch et al., GRL, 2009 de Rosnay et al., QJRMS 2013
- Opening the possibility to assimilate satellite data related to surface soil moisture
- EDA-SEKF (since 2019)
 - Use the Ensemble Data Assimilation to compute the SEKF Jacobians

SYNOP T2m, RH2m in situ data assimilated in a 2D-OI



Screen level observations are:

- T2m, two meter temperature
- RH2m, relative humidity (RH2m)

Diversity of Report types:

Automatic and manual SYNOP stations, METAR (METeorological Airport Reports), etc...

The output of the 2D-OI fields, the analysed T2m and RH2m, are used as input of the soil analysis

ECMWF Soil Analysis for NWP



Soil moisture satellite observations assimilated operationally

Active microwave data:

ASCAT: Advanced Scatterometer On MetOP-A (2006-2021), MetOP-B (2012-), MetOP-C (2018-) C-band (5.6GHz) backscattering coefficient EUMETSAT Operational mission



Scatterometer soil moisture also used in ERA5 (ERS-SCAT, Metop/ASCAT)

Passive microwave data:

SMOS: Soil Moisture & Ocean Salinity (2009-)
L-band (1.4 GHz) Brightness Temperature
ESA Earth Explorer, dedicated soil moisture mission
(Munoz-Sabater et al., 2020, Rodriguez-Fernandez et al., 2019)



For each grid point, analysed soil moisture state vector \boldsymbol{x}_{a} : $\boldsymbol{x}_{a} = \boldsymbol{x}_{b} + \boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{H}[\boldsymbol{x}_{b}])$

 $m{x}$ background soil moisture state vector, $m{\mathcal{H}}$ non linear observation operator

→ See KF lecture from M. Bonavita

- y observation vector
- *K* Kalman gain matrix, fn of
 - **H** (linearsation of \mathcal{H}), **P** and **R** (covariance matrices
- of background and observation errors).

Used at ECMWF (operations and ERA5), DWD, UKMO

Observations used at ECMWF:

For operational NWP:

- •Conventional SYNOP pseudo observations (analysed T2m, RH2m)
- Satellite: MetOp-B/C ASCAT and SMOS soil moisture

The simplified EKF is used to corrects the soil moisture trajectory of the Land Surface Model



Drusch et al., GRL, 2009 de Rosnay et al., ECMWF News Letter 127, 2011 de Rosnay et al., QJRMS, 2013 Fairbairn et al., JHM 2019 Munoz-Sabater et al QJRMS, 2019 Rodriguez-Fernandez et al. RS 2019

 $\boldsymbol{x}_{a} = \boldsymbol{x}_{b} + \boldsymbol{K} (\boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{H}[\boldsymbol{x}_{b}])$

Elements of the SEKF for each individual grid point in the case of:

- Assimilation of 4 observations: T2m, RH2m, ASCATsm, SMOSsm

- State vector **x**: volumetric soil moisture (SM) of the model layers, I1, I2, I3 (in m3/m3)





Jacobians computation in Finite differences: in ERA5 and NWP until June 2019

Estimated by finite differences by perturbing individually each component x_j of the control vector **x** by a small amount δx_j . One perturbed model trajectory is computed for each control valriable

© ECMWF

In the ECMWF soil analysis the perturbation size is set to 0.01m³m⁻³



Jacobians computation based on the EDA: in NWP since June 2019 (IFS cycle 46r1 Doc)

Use the EDA (Ensemble Data Assimilation) spread to compute covariances and the SEKF Jacobians



with i soil layer index, $\rho_i = 1/[1 + (i-1) \alpha_{sekf}]$ and $\alpha_{sekf} = 0.6$ tapering coefficient



Soil moisture increments: Case study with ASCAT, T2m, RH2m





Vertically integrated Soil Moisture increments (stDev in mm)

	SYNOP	ASCAT
Layer 1	0.68	1.43
Layer 2	1.48	0.68
Layer 3	4.28	0.46

ASCAT more increments than SYNOP at surface
SYNOP give more increments at depth
→ For 12h DA window, link obs to root zone stronger for T2m,RH2m than for surface soil moisture observations

Soil analysis for NWP: impact on the atmospheric forecast

Temperature RMSE



Summary on soil moisture analysis

- Significant impact of soil moisture analysis on low level atmospheric forecasts
- Approaches: 1D-OI (Météo-France, ECMWF ERA-I); SEKF (DWD, ECMWF/ERA5, UKMO); SEKF-EDA(ECMWF/NWP)

- Data: Most Centres rely on screen level data (T2M and RH2m) through a dedicated 2D-OI analysis, ASCAT (UKMO, ECMWF NWP & EUMETSAT H-SAF), SMOS soil moisture (ECMWF)

Summary

- Soil moisture and snow water equivalent are analysed in NWP systems
- > Variety of DA methods for snow and soil moisture at ECMWF and other NWP centres
- Land Data Assimilation Systems: run separately from the atmospheric data

assimilation, but first guess forecast is coupled \rightarrow weakly coupled assimilation,

- Ensemble-based approach at ECMWF to compute the Jacobians enhances coupling
- Stronger coupling plans with outer-loop land-atmosphere developments

Bibliography

- Balsamo et al. A revised hydrology for the ECMWF model: Verification from field site to terrestrial water storage and impact in the Integrated Forecast System. J. Hydrometeorol. 2009, 10, 623–643.
- Brasnett B. (1999) A global analysis of snow depth for numerical weather prediction. J Appl Meteorol 38:726–740
- Cressman G. An operational objective analysis system. Mon Weather Rev 87(10):367–374, 1959
- de Rosnay et al.: Snow data assimilation at ECMWF, ECMWF Newsletter no 143, article pp 26-31, Spring 2015
- de Rosnay et al.: Initialisation of land surface variables for Numerical Weather Prediction, Surveys in Geophysics, 35(3), pp 607-621, 2014
- de Rosnay et al: A simplified Extended Kalman Filter for the global operational soil moisture analysis at ECMWF, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 139:1199-1213, 2013
- de Rosnay et al.: Extended Kalman Filter soil-moisture analysis in the IFS ECMWF Newsletter no 127, pp12-16, 2011
- Douville et al.: Evaluation of optimal interpolation and nudging techniques for soil moisture analysis using FIFE data. Mon Weather Rev 128:1733–1756, 2000
- Drusch et al.: Towards a Kalman Filter based soil moisture analysis system for the operational ECMWF Integrated Forecast System, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L10401, 2009.
- Dutra et al: An improved snow scheme for the ECMWF land surface model: description and offline validation, J. Hydrometeorol., 11, 899–916, 2010.
- Fairbairn et al "The new stand-alone surface analysis at ECMWF: Implications for land-atmosphere DA coupling", Journal of Hydrometeorology 20, 2023-2042, 2019
- Hersbach et al.: The ERA5 Global Reanalysis, QJRMS, , 146, 1999-2049,2020
- IFS cycle 46r1 documentation, Part II, Chapter 9: <u>https://www.ecmwf.int/en/elibrary/19306-ifs-documentation-cy46r1-part-ii-data-assimilation</u>
- Mahfouf et al A revised land-surface analysis scheme in the Integrated Forecasting System. ECMWF Newsletter No. 88, 2000
- Muñoz Sabater et al.: "Assimilation of SMOS Brightness Temperatures in the ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System", 145, issue 723, pp. 2524-2548, QJRMS, 2019
- Rodriguez-Fernandez et al.: "SMOS Neural Network Soil Moisture Data Assimilation in a Land Surface Model and Atmospheric Impact", Remote Sensing, 11(11), 1334, 2019
- Schepers et al. "CERA-SAT: A coupled satellite-era reanalysis", ECMWF Newsletter 155, pp31-37, 2018